1 2 3 4	Calvin L. Litsey (SBN 289659) Faegre Baker Daniels LLP 1950 University Avenue, Suite 450 East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2279 Telephone: +1 650 324-6700 Facsimile: +1 650 324-6701 calvin.litsey@FaegreBD.com		
5	Kathy L. Osborn (pro hac vice)		
6	Ryan M. Hurley (pro hac vice)		
7	Faegre Baker Daniels LLP 300 N. Meridian Street, Suite 2700		
8	Indianapolis, IN 46204 Telephone: +1 317-237-0300		
	Facsimile: +1 317-237-1000		
9	<u>kathy.osborn@FaegreBD.com</u> <u>ryan.hurley@FaegreBD.com</u>		
10			
11	Jeffrey S. Roberts ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Faegre Baker Daniels LLP		
12	3200 Wells Fargo 1700 Lincoln Street		
13	Denver, CO 80203		
14	Telephone: +1 303 607 3500 Facsimile: +1 303 607 3600		
15	jeff.roberts@FaegreBD.com		
16 17	Attorneys for Defendants Thomson S.A. and Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc.		
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
20	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
21	IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)	No. 07-cv-5944-SC	
22	ANTITRUST LITIGATION	MDL No. 1917	
23	This Document Relates to:	DECLARATION OF JEFFREY S. ROBERTS IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER	
24 25	Sharp Electronics Corp. et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd. Et al., No. 13-cv-01173	RE EXTENSION OF BRIEFING SCHEDULE	
26		[STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE EXTENSION OF BRIEFING SCHEDULE FILED CONCURRENTLY HEREWITH]	
27			
28			

- 1 | I, Jeffrey S. Roberts, hereby declare under penalty of perjury as follows:
- 2 | 1. I am an active member in good standing of the bar of the State of Colorado and on July 31,
- 3 | 2013 I was granted leave to appear pro have vice [Dkt. No. 1819]. I am an attorney with the firm
- 4 | Faegre Baker Daniels LLP, counsel to Defendants Thomson S.A. and Thomson Consumer
- 5 | Electronics, Inc. ("Thomson Consumer"). I submit this declaration in support of Thomson S.A's
- 6 Stipulation and Proposed Order regarding Extension of Briefing Schedule in relation to Thomson
- 7 | S.A.'s Reply in Support of its Motion to Dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction the claims alleged
- 8 | against it in Sharp Electronics Corp. and Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America,
- 9 | Inc.'s (collectively, "Sharp's") Complaint.
- 10 2. On March 15, 2013, Sharp filed its Complaint against Thomson Consumer and Thomson
- 11 || S.A.
- 12 | 3. On July 3, 2013, Thomson S.A. filed a Motion to Dismiss [Dkt. No. 1765] for lack of
- personal jurisdiction the claims alleged against it in Sharp's Complaint.
- 14 | 4. By previous stipulations, Thomson S.A. and Sharp agreed to extend the deadline for Sharp
- 15 | to file its Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss until August 7, 2013. (See Dkt. Nos. 1771 and
- 16 | 1795.)
- 17 | 5. On August 7, 2013 Sharp filed its response to Thomson S.A.'s Motion to Dismiss, to which
- 18 | it attached hundreds of pages of exhibits.
- 19 6. On August 15, 2013 I contacted Blaise Warren and Craig Benson, both counsel for Sharp,
- 20 | by email and requested an extension of time for Thomson S.A. to file its Reply in support of its
- 21 Motion to Dismiss necessitated by the fact that: (1) many of Thomson S.A's attorneys are currently
- 22 || engaged in numerous depositions in an unrelated matter and (2) as is the custom in that country in
- 23 | the month of August, most Thomson S.A. employees who reside in France are currently on
- 24 | vacation, so Thomson S.A.'s attorneys have been unable to consult with them regarding the
- 25 | arguments raised in Sharp's Opposition.
- 26 | 7. That same day, I contacted Mr. Warren by telephone and further discussed the requested
- 27 | extension with him. Less than one hour later, Mr. Warren informed me by email that Sharp had

28

## Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 1851-1 Filed 08/19/13 Page 3 of 3

1	agreed to extend the deadline for Thomson S.A. to file its Reply from August 21, 2013 to August	
2	28, 2013.	
3	8. The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss is currently scheduled for October 14, 2013 at 9:00	
4	a.m. before the Honorable Charles A. Legge. No party will be prejudiced by this brief extension of	
5	time for Thomson S.A. to file its Reply, nor should this extension adversely impact the hearing date.	
6	Executed this August 16, 2013 at Denver, Colorado.	
7	/s/ Jeffrey S. Roberts	
8	Jeffrey S. Roberts	
9	Jeffie y B. Roberts	
10	Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i), the filer attests that the concurrence in the filing of this document has	
11	been obtained from the above signatory.	
12	Dated: August 16, 2013	
13	By:/s/ Calvin L. Litsey	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
l	3 Case No. 07-5944-SC: MDI, No. 1917	